

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation
(Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for Evaluation of technical bid for “Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of (i) Maram-Peren-Dimapur section on NH 129A (Manipur & Nagaland), (ii) Pallel- Chandel Section of NH-102C and (iii) Sangshak-Nampisha on NH 102 A (A Bharatmala Project) on Engineering, Procurement and Construction mode in the state of Manipur(2nd Call)” at NHIDCL on 06.09.2017, New Delhi-**Technical Bid Evaluation- reg.**

- 1) The RFPs for the subject work were invited on 30.05.2017 with bid due date as **13.07.2017 till 1700 hrs.**
- 2) In all 05 proposals (based on data uploaded on Infracon portal) were received from the consultancy firms as mentioned below. The envelopes containing bid document cost, bid security, power of Attorney etc were opened accordingly thereafter by Empowered Technical Bid opening Committee (ETBC) on 14.07.2017 in the presence of Bidders representative who choose to attend. Further the ETBC recommended evaluating the Technical Bids through INFRACON as per the provisions of RFP for the following participating firms.
 - i) **M/s C E Testing Company Pvt. Ltd.**
 - ii) **M/s Global Infra Solution JV Krishna Techno Consultant Pvt. Ltd.**
 - iii) **M/s S M Consultants.**
 - iv) **M/s Highway Engineering Consultant.**
 - v) **M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.**
- 3) The committee vides meeting dated 01.08.2017 recommended for opening of financial bids of aforesaid bidders **M/s C E Testing Company Pvt. Ltd., M/s Highway Engineering Consultant and M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.** by giving 7 days time and to communicate the technically responsive bidders their Technical score for Clarification/Representation if any by uploading on e-portal.
- 4) Representations were received from the 5 (Five) aforesaid participating bidders and the same were considered and perused. The committee deliberated on the representations in details vide Minutes of Meeting dated 23.08.2017 and recommended the opening of financial proposals of the aforesaid responsive bidders considering the modified technical score with 3 days time for representation if any with the approval of the Competent Authority.
- 5) Further a representation from **M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.** was received vide letter dated 04.09.2017. The committee deliberated on the representation in detail and the comments are as under:



Representation from Consultant	Observation	Remarks of ETEC	Marks
M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited			
Material testing, survey and investigation equipment and software proposed to be used	Nowhere in the experience certificate submitted by consultant it has been mentioned about the experience in LIDAR, GPR, etc technologies and it has been filled NO on Infracon. Hence not considered.	As there is no submission regarding LIDAR, GPR, etc technologies. Hence not considered.	0
Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer “Post Graduation in Highway Engg./Structures/Traffic and Transportation/Soil mechanics and Foundation Engineering/Construction Management/Transportation”	The consultant has filled M.Tech in Geotech Engineering or MSc. in Geology and M Tech in Environmental Engineering or equivalent. However Post Graduation in Highway Engg./Structures/Traffic and Transportation/Soil mechanics and Foundation Engineering/Construction Management/Transportation is required as per RFP.	As M Tech in the Soil mechanics and Foundation is required and the consultant has submitted M Tech in Geotech Engineering Hence the same is acceptable and considered.	5
Environment Specialist Post Graduation in Environmental Engineering”	Masters in Environment science and the same has been considered for essential Educational qualification.	Post Graduation in Environmental Engineering is not submitted by the consultant. Hence not considered.	0
Traffic and Safety Expert “Employment with Firm”	The consultant has claimed experience with MC Consulting Engineers (P) Ltd not with M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited. However the consultant has stated that MC Consulting Engineers (P) Ltd is merged with M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited. (Hon’ble High Court of Telangana & Delhi order approving Demerger and Merger)	M/s MC Consulting Engineers (P) Ltd is merged with M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited while the consultant has not filled company details for M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited for that period and the applying agency is M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited	0

White

Agar


D

Devi

L.L.

		Hence the same is not acceptable and not considered.	
Sr. Survey Engineer			
		M/s MC Consulting Engineers (P) Ltd is merged with M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited while the consultant has not filled company details for M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited for that period and the applying agency is M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited	0
“Employment with Firm”	The consultant has claimed experience with MC Consulting Engineers (P) Ltd not with M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited. However the consultant has stated that MC Consulting Engineers (P) Ltd is merged with M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited. (Hon’ble High Court of Telangana & Delhi order approving Demerger and Merger)	Hence the same is not acceptable and not considered.	
QUANTITY SURVEYOR/DOCUMENTATION EXPERT			
Employment with Firm	The consultant has claimed experience with MC Consulting Engineers (P) Ltd not with M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited. However the consultant has stated that MC Consulting Engineers (P) Ltd is merged with M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited. (Hon’ble High Court of Telangana & Delhi order approving Demerger and Merger)	M/s MC Consulting Engineers (P) Ltd is merged with M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited while the consultant has not filled company details for M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited for that period and the applying agency is M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited	0
		Hence the same is not acceptable and not considered.	











- 6) The first stage evaluation for ascertaining the Proof of Eligibility after consideration of representation from bidders is as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Eligibility Status of the Participated Firm
1	M/s C E Testing Company Pvt. Ltd	Eligible
2	M/s Global Infra Solution JV Krishna Techno Consultant Pvt. Ltd.	Eligible
3	M/s S M Consultants.	Not Eligible
4	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	Eligible
5	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.	Eligible

- 7) The second stage evaluation was carried out manually for 4 (Four) aforesaid eligible bidders and the results are as under: **(Annex - I)**

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Firm's Relevant Experience In The Last 7 Years (Max. points 25)	Equipment and software (Max. points 20)	Qualifications and Competence of the Key Staff for the assignment (Max. points 50)	Adequacy Marks for Work Plan and Methodology (Max. points 5)	Technical Score
1	M/s C E Testing Company Pvt. Ltd	25	20	46.24	5	96.24
2	M/s Global Infra Solution JV Krishna Techno Consultant Pvt. Ltd.	24	16	45.38	5	90.38
3	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	25	20	44.18	4	93.18
4	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.	25	8	43.86	5	81.86

- 8) On Infracon vide circular dated 05.07.2017 it has been informed that the Debarment Order dated 18.05.2017 in favor of M/s Highway Engineering Consultant Bhopal issued by Govt. Of Telangana, has been stayed till 19.07.2017 by Hon'ble High Court of Hyderabad. However it is bought out that stay of the Debarment of M/s Highway Engineering

Bhaskar

High

High

High

High

Consultant has been further continued till 28.07.2017 and further listing date is 07.09.2017 by Hon'ble High Court (**Annexure-A**).

- 9) It can be seen from Para 6 above that the technical score of proposal of all the aforesaid 4 (Four) eligible bidder is more than 75 marks out of 100 marks. Hence the technical proposal of all the aforesaid 4 (Four) eligible bidders is complying the Clause 5.2 of Letter of Invitation (LOI) of RFP.
- 10) The technical score of Team leader cum Senior Highway Engineer of all aforesaid consultants mentioned in Para 6 is more than minimum qualifying marks, hence the technical proposals of the consultants can be considered for opening of Financial Proposals.
- 11) Keeping in view Para 7,8 & 9 above, 4 (Four) out of 5 (Five) bidders are found technically qualified and eligible for financial opening accordingly. The detail is as under:

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Technical Score	Technical Responsive/Non responsive
1	M/s C E Testing Company Pvt. Ltd	96.24	Responsive
2	M/s Global Infra Solution JV Krishna Techno Consultant Pvt. Ltd.	90.38	Responsive
3	M/s S M Consultants.	-	Non- Responsive
4	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	93.18	Responsive (Subject to order of Hon'ble High Court)
5	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.	81.86	Responsive

- 12) The ETEC accordingly recommends the opening of financial proposals of the aforesaid responsive bidders considering the modified technical score with the approval of the Competent Authority.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.


Sanjeev Malik
(ED-III)
Chairman


Col Rajeev Sood
(GM-Tech)
Member
Secretary


Adil Singh
(GM-Tech)
Member


A.K. Jha
DGM (Tech)
Member


U Chatterjee
GM (Finance):
Member

